Feasibility of reirradiation and treatment outcome in a previously irradiated territory in head-and-neck malignancies
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Introduction

Incidence of recurrence in head-and-neck cancers (HNCs) is in the order of 10–15% for early stage disease, 15–25% for intermediate stage disease, and 30–50% for advanced stage disease [1]. For those who did not develop locoregional (LR) or distant recurrence, there is still a risk of 25% at 5 years to develop a second primary HNC [2]. Both recurrent tumors and second malignancies are difficult to manage when they occur in a previously irradiated area and are considered a challenging problem for the whole team. For decades, the management of recurrent and second primary HNC in the previously irradiated territory has been limited to salvage surgery in selected patients resulting in a 2-year disease-free survival of 36.3% (range: 0–59%) and a 5-year overall survival of 36% (range: 23–55%) [3,4]. The role of external reirradiation was rarely investigated mainly due to fear of severe toxicity from high doses to large, previously irradiated target volumes.

Intensity-modulated radiotherapy permits extremely conformal delivery of definitive doses with sparing of neighboring normal tissues, so promises to improve both efficacy and morbidity in reirradiated patients [5].

Materials and methods

In all, 24 patients with recurrence or second primary tumors in HNC were included in the study conducted between January 2009 to August 2014. All patients were treated by the image-guided intensity-modulated radiotherapy technique. The most common site of recurrence/second primary was oral tongue. The time interval between initial radiotherapy and reirradiation ranged from 8 months to 17 years.

Results

The planning target volume volume of reirradiation ranged from 26.72 to 469.32 ml (median: 118.71 ml). Toxicity was more in patients receiving concurrent chemoradiation and in patients with less interval time between reirradiation. Out of the 24 patients, nine were no evidence of disease, eight patients expired (five disease progression, two comorbidities, and one due to hematemesis after the development of third primary), two recurred, one developed distant metastases, and four were lost to follow-up.

Conclusion

Surgical resection, with or without reirradiation, provides the highest likelihood for successful salvage in locoregional (LR) recurrences in HNCs. Patients reirradiated after a long time from initial irradiation have better tolerance.
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Materials and methods

Between January 2009 and August 2014, 24 patients who underwent reirradiation at our institute for recurrence and second primary HNC were included in this retrospective study (Table 1). IEC approval was taken to conduct study. The patients received external beam reirradiation to the head-and-neck area with curative intent to overlapping areas that had previously been treated with radiotherapy.

The following selection criteria were applied: histologic proof of recurrent disease or second primary tumor after previous radiation and no evidence of distant metastases. The diagnostic evaluation included physical examination, radiologic evaluation of the head and neck by computed tomography (CT), and/or magnetic resonance imaging, screening for distant metastases using CT and/or positron emission tomography (CT). For previously irradiated patients presenting with recurrent or second primary tumors, surgical salvage has remained the standard of care in our clinical practice. In cases of unresectability, primary reirradiation (with or without concurrent chemotherapy) was considered as a therapeutic option. Warren and Gate's criteria [6–8] were used to classify tumors as second primary or recurrence which included: (a) histologic confirmation of malignancy in both the index and secondary tumors; (b) the two tumors should be separated by normal mucosa of at least 2 cm and if they are in the same anatomic location, then there must be a gap of at least 5 years between their occurrence; and (c) the possibility of the second malignancy being metastasis of the index tumor must be ruled out. Postoperative reirradiation was considered only if the pathologic features of the surgical specimen indicated a high risk of subsequent recurrence: tumor size, positive margins, lymph node metastasis with extracapsular extension, and/or multiple lymph node metastases.

Treatment

Patients were immobilized with a thermoplastic head-and-neck mask including the shoulders, to ensure reproducibility of reirradiation. CT simulation with a 2-mm slice thickness was performed in all patients. Targets and organs at risk were delineated on axial CT scan sections according to the RTOG contouring guidelines. Gross tumor volume encompassing tumor and/or pathological lymph nodes was expanded to form the clinical target volume (CTV). In case of surgery, the CTV encompassed the postoperative tumor bed. The CTV was expanded isotropically with a 5 mm margin to a planning target volume (PTV). No prophylactic neck irradiation was done.

Dose prescription

Treatment planning was done on the ECLIPSE treatment planning system. Inverse planning intensity-modulated radiotherapy (IMRT) was carried out for all the patients followed by evaluation of target coverage, dose uniformity, and dose to normal structures. Dose prescription aimed to deliver at least 95% of the prescribed dose to at least 95% of the PTV and not more than 107% of the prescribed dose to no more than 5% of the PTV (Fig. 1).

The treatment was delivered using 6-MV photons by a linear accelerator (CLINAC iX, Varian system,CA). Setup verification was done using Cone Beam CT/2D kV imaging. A weekly offline review was performed (Fig. 2).

The patients were seen at least once a week by a radiation oncologist who assessed acute toxicity according to the Common Toxicity Criteria 3.0. Weekly blood investigations were performed which included a complete blood count and evaluation of renal parameters for patients receiving concurrent chemotherapy. Patients were subsequently followed up every 1–2 months for the first year, 3 months for the second years, and 4–6 months in subsequent years. Response evaluation was done by MRI neck or PET CT scan 3 months after treatment.

Statistical methods

Data were entered into MS Excel and analyzed using SPSS v20. Qualitative data were summarized as
frequencies and percentages. Quantitative data were checked for normality. Normally distributed data were summarized using mean and SD. Non-normally distributed data were summarized using median and interquartile range. The association between qualitative variables was tested using the $\chi^2$-test. Kruskal–Wallis test was used to look for association between toxicity and time to recurrence and PTV volume. Statistical significance was interpreted using an arbitrary cut-off of $P=0.05$. Kaplan–Meier survival graph was plotted to depict the survival pattern of the study patients and the median survival was calculated.

**Results**

The mean patient age was 56 years (range: 38–82 years) and 79% of the patients were men; 13 (54%) had second primary and 11 (46%) had recurrence. Of the 24 patients, 11 had recurrence in the oral cavity, four in the oropharynx, two each in the hypopharynx and larynx, one in the nasopharynx, and two had contralateral nodal recurrence. The time interval between initial radiotherapy and reirradiation ranged from 8 months to 17 years. Salvage surgery preceded radiotherapy in 10 (42%) patients and 14 (58%) underwent radical radiotherapy; nine (37.5%) patients received concurrent chemotherapy with radiation therapy. PTV included CTV with a margin in postoperative patients and gross tumor with margin in radical patients. No prophylactic nodal irradiation was done. The PTV volume of reirradiation ranged from 26.72 to 469.32 ml (median: 118.71 ml). The PTV dose ranged from 45 to 70.3 Gy with a median dose of 60 Gy. Dmax to the spine ranged from 2.08 to 23 Gy (mean dose: 5.09 Gy). Dmax to the brainstem ranged from 0.36 to 37.4 Gy (mean dose: 4.83 Gy). The most common acute side effect was mucositis. Grade 3 mucositis was seen in 11 patients. Toxicity was more in patients receiving concurrent chemoradiation, patients with lesser time interval between primary irradiation and reirradiation and with greater PTV volumes. At last follow-up, nine (37.5%) patients have no evidence of disease, eight (33.3%) patients expired (five due to disease progression, two due to comorbidities, and one due to hematemesis after the development of third primary), two (8.3%) recurred, one (4.1%) developed...
distant metastases, and four (16.6%) were lost to follow-up (Fig. 3).

**Median survival was 33 months**

Late radiation-induced complications were seen in seven patients, neck fibrosis was seen in four patients, and feeding tube dependency in three patients (Fig. 4).

**Discussion**

Following irradiation of advanced HNC, the most common patterns of failure are either LR or the development of a second malignancy [6–11]. Both are difficult to manage when they occur in a previously irradiated area. Surgical resection with or without adjuvant radiotherapy offers the best probability for successful salvage. Unfortunately many patients present at unresectable stage. An increasing interest has developed in the use of concurrent chemotherapy and radiation therapy for formerly irradiated patients with recurrent or second primary HNC. Durable response and survival has been reproducibly demonstrated in a small (15–20%), but finite minority of patients. Retreatment with conventional techniques to the head-and-neck region can be challenging because of the disease spatially approximating formerly treated dose-limiting anatomy [12]. Because of risk associated with reirradiation, identification of patients who might derive benefit is of utmost importance. The single most important factor is the interval of time from initial radiotherapy treatment. The longer the interval, the less likely the chances of development...
of side effects and greater the likelihood of LR control. Also the dose to the critical structures is of importance during reirradiation. Biopsy confirmed evidence of recurrence is imperative. The dose and fractionation schedule used in the setting of reirradiation have varied across the published reports. Higher the dose delivered, greater the probability of local control. Salama et al. [13] reported a 3-year overall survival and LR control of 30 and 56%, respectively, for patients who received greater than 58 Gy compared with only 6 and 33% among those who received less than 58 Gy. The role of concurrent chemotherapy remains uncertain with reirradiation to the head and neck [14,15]. How and whether chemotherapy affects normal tissue tolerance, in the setting of reirradiation is largely unknown. Previous institutional series have established the dosimetric advantages and practicability of IMRT [16,17]. Although IMRT has been universally heralded for its ability to deliver elegantly conformal treatment plans to complex target volumes, this technology is critically based on an inherent assumption that the region of interest lies in the exact same position each day as at the time of simulation [18]. The advantage of IGRT is that it offers a quality three-dimensional view of the region of interest with excellent soft-tissue contrast at the time of treatment, thereby allowing patient realignment [19]. In our series of patients, the response and tolerance to treatment was mainly based on the patients' performance status.

The prognostic factors associated with improved outcome following reirradiation include irradiation of new primary cancers versus recurrent tumor, the time interval since previous irradiation, higher radiation dose at the time of reirradiation, and the use of concurrent chemotherapy. Reirradiation of new primary cancers is expected to be better than reirradiation of recurrent tumor, due to the inherent aggressiveness and radio resistance of recurrent lesions. In addition, one would expect that patients reirradiated after a long time from initial irradiation would have improved tumor control rates compared with patients irradiated after shorter intervals, because these tumors grow back quickly and it is less likely that the tumor control would be achieved with reirradiation. It is also instinctive that higher radiation doses are associated with better tumor control, as a dose–response relationship exists for the treatment of primary tumors. Based on the available literature and our own experience, the following recommendations can be made for patients with recurrent disease or a second primary tumor after previous irradiation: If the tumor is resectable, salvage surgery is the mainstay of treatment. Postoperative reirradiation should be considered in patients at high risk of subsequent recurrence (e.g. in cases of positive resection margins and/or extranodal spread). If the disease is unresectable, definitive reirradiation with concurrent chemotherapy can be offered to the patient. Even
though the likelihood of a positive outcome is limited in these cases, it should be realized that no other curative treatment options are available. Treatment within the context of clinical trials remains warranted to establish evidence-based treatment policies. LR recurrences after radiotherapy in HNCs represent a challenging clinical problem. Surgical resection, with or without reirradiation, provides the highest likelihood for successful salvage. Toxicity during reirradiation is an important issue. Patients reirradiated after a long time from initial irradiation have better tolerance compared with patients irradiated after shorter intervals. Novel treatment strategies to improve the outcome and minimize late complications in patients with HNC requiring reirradiation are warranted.

Financial support and sponsorship
Nil.

Conflicts of interest
There are no conflicts of interest.

References